

**Santa Cruz County 2022 New Supplemental NOFO Project Scoring Tool**

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ Check that not conflicted per CoC policy \_\_\_

Agency/Project: \_\_\_\_\_

No.	Scoring Criteria	Points Possible	Points
1	<p><b>Housing/Project Type</b> Points will be awarded based upon local priority for the following housing/project types: <b>10 points for:</b> (a) <b>New PSH</b> projects that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Serve individuals with <b>severe service needs</b> (such as chronic mental illness, substance addiction, and/or chronic health conditions such as HIV/AIDS) and <b>currently unsheltered</b> or with <b>histories of unsheltered homelessness</b></li> <li>b. Use Supplemental funds primarily for needed <b>housing activities</b> (e.g., rental assistance, leasing, operations) as opposed to service costs</li> <li>c. Leverage <b>healthcare</b> and <b>other mainstream resources</b> for service costs</li> <li>d. Leverage <b>mainstream housing</b> or <b>public housing authority vouchers</b> for additional housing.</li> <li>e. <b>New CoC Planning</b> project.</li> </ol> <p><b>5 points for:</b> (a) <b>New RRH</b> projects that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Serve individuals or families with <b>severe service needs</b> (such as chronic mental illness, substance addiction, and/or chronic health conditions such as HIV/AIDS) and <b>currently unsheltered</b> or with a <b>histories of unsheltered homelessness</b></li> <li>b. Use Supplemental funds primarily for needed <b>housing activities</b> (e.g., rental assistance) not service costs</li> <li>c. Leverage <b>healthcare</b> and <b>other mainstream resources</b> for service costs</li> <li>d. Leverage <b>mainstream housing</b> or <b>public housing authority vouchers</b> for additional housing.</li> </ol> <p><b>0 points for:</b> – All other eligible projects.</p>	<p><b>10 POINTS POSSIBLE</b> 10 points:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PSH – individuals with severe service needs, etc.</li> <li>• CoC Planning</li> </ul>                     5 points:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RRH – individuals and families with severe service needs, etc.</li> </ul>                     0 points:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All other eligible projects</li> </ul> </p>	
2	<p><b>Population Served - Addresses Populations With Severe Service Needs (10 points possible)</b> Points will be for the percentage of clients to be served who have <b>severe service needs</b> (e.g., such as chronic mental illness, substance addiction, and/or chronic health conditions such as HIV/AIDS) and <b>currently unsheltered</b> or with a <b>histories of unsheltered homelessness</b>.</p>	<p><b>10 POINTS POSSIBLE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 points – 100% served are the above</li> <li>• 5 points – 75-99% served are the above</li> <li>• 0 points – 0%-74% served are the above</li> </ul>	

<b>3A</b>	<b>PROGRAM DESIGN</b>	<b>20 POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	
	<p>Housing where participants will reside is fully described and appropriate to the program design proposed. Program design includes provision of appropriate supportive services. Consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are the program annual measurable goals appropriate to the program type and sufficiently challenging?</li> <li>2. Will the majority of homeless participants come from the streets, emergency shelters, or other appropriate setting given population served and project type?</li> <li>3. Is the program’s outreach plan sufficient and feasible population served and project type?</li> <li>4. Are the types and frequency of services appropriate for the population served and project type?</li> <li>5. Are the strategies to help participants obtain and remain in permanent housing appropriate for the population served and project type?</li> <li>6. Are the strategies to help participants increase their employment and income and live independently appropriate for the population served and project type?</li> <li>7. The project well addresses a community plan to serve individuals or families with severe service needs and are currently unsheltered or have histories of unsheltered homelessness.</li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 points – measurable goals</li> <li>• 2 points – majority come from streets or shelters</li> <li>• 1 points – outreach plan</li> <li>• 2 points – supportive services</li> <li>• 2 points – obtain PH</li> <li>• 1 points – income &amp; live independently</li> <li>• 10 points – well addressing community plan sever needs, currently unsheltered, histories of unsheltered</li> </ul>	
<b>4</b>	<b>PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS</b>	<b>20 POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	
<b>4A</b>	<p>Coordinated Entry Participation: The minimum percentage of new clients the program commits to taking from CES referral.</p>	<p><b>10 Points Available</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 points – 95% - 100% CES commitment</li> <li>• 8 points – 90% - 94%</li> <li>• 6 points – 85% - 89%</li> <li>• 4 points – 80% - 84%</li> <li>• 2 points – 75% - 79%</li> <li>• 1 points – 70% - 74%</li> <li>• 0 points – below 70%.</li> </ul>	
<b>4B</b>	<p>Housing First Fidelity Assessment: Serving People with the Highest Barriers to Housing: To what extent does your project embrace the following Housing First approaches?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Does the project prioritize client selection based on duration of homelessness and vulnerability?</li> <li>2. Does the project accept all clients regardless of substance use history, or current use?</li> <li>3. Does the project accept clients who are diagnosed with, or show symptoms of, a mental illness?</li> <li>4. Does the project accept clients regardless of criminal history?</li> </ol>	<p><b>10 Points Available</b></p> <p>Housing First approaches:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 point “yes” response</li> <li>• 0 points “no” response</li> </ul> <p>Removing housing barriers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1/2 point per “yes” response</li> <li>• 0 points per “no” response</li> </ul>	

	<p>5. Does the project accept clients regardless of income or financial resources?</p> <p>6. Does the project use a harm-reduction model for drugs and/or alcohol use?</p> <p>Removing Barriers to Housing: To what extent does your project eliminate the following barriers to housing?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No minimum income</li> <li>2. No required current employment</li> <li>3. No required state issued photo id</li> <li>4. Need not show sobriety (drugs or alcohol)</li> <li>5. OK to have symptoms of mental illness</li> <li>6. Need not have transportation</li> <li>7. No required specific disabling condition (e.g., MH, SA, HIV/AIDS)</li> <li>8. Need not show use medication.</li> </ol>		
<b>5</b>	<b>FINANCIAL AND COST EFFECTIVENESS</b>	<b>10 POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	
<b>5A</b>	Housing vs. Service Funding: The percentage of 3-year <i>program</i> funding (not including admin) proposed to be used on housing activities (acquisition, construction, rehab, and housing operations) vs. percentage funding used on non-housing activities (supportive services, services-only operations, and HMIS).	<b>10 Points Available</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 points – 90% - 100% housing activities</li> <li>• 8 points – 80% - 89%</li> <li>• 6 points – 70% - 79%</li> <li>• 4 points – 60% - 69%</li> <li>• 2 points – 50% - 99%</li> <li>• 0 points – below 50%.</li> </ul>	
<b>6</b>	<b>AGENCY EXPERIENCE/CAPACITY</b>	<b>10 POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	
<b>6A</b>	<b>Agency Years of Experience</b> Number of years of agency experience in implementing the proposed program OR similar program type (e.g., RRH or PSH)	<b>10 Points Available</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 points – 8+ years</li> <li>• 8 points – 5 to 7 years</li> <li>• 6 points – 4 to 6 years</li> <li>• 4 points – 2 to 3 years</li> <li>• 2 points – 1 to 2 years</li> <li>• 0 points – below 1 year</li> </ul>	
<b>6B</b>	<b>Capacity Issues</b> Points will be deducted if in the past year (9/10/21-present): (1) HUD has disencumbered funds from the agency’s CoC programs, (2) the agency has unresolved HUD monitoring findings in CoC programs, or (3) the agency has been late in submitting a CoC APR.	<b>10 Pts Deduction Possible</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4 points deduction – disencumbered funds</li> <li>• 4 points deduction – unresolved findings</li> <li>• 4 points deduction – late APR</li> </ul>	
<b>7</b>	<b>Mainstream Resources</b> The number of strategies the program has identified to help clients access federal mainstream benefits, including Medicaid; State	<b>7 POINTS POSSIBLE</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 points – 7 - 8 strategies used</li> </ul>	

	Children’s Health Insurance Program; TANF (CalWORKS); Food Stamps; SSI; Workforce Investment Act; <i>Employment Income</i> ; Welfare to Work Grant Programs; and, Veterans Health Care.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points – 5 - 6 used</li> <li>• 3 point – 3 - 4 used</li> <li>• 2 points – 2 used</li> <li>• 1 point – 1 used</li> <li>• 0 points – 0 used</li> </ul>	
<b>8</b>	<p><b>Equity Factors</b></p> <p>Agency will receive one point for each of the following factors that it has implemented OR commits to implement within one year:</p> <p>Agency leadership, governance, and policies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Agency has individuals representing BIPOC in managerial and leadership positions</li> <li>2. Agency has individuals representing LGBTQ+ in managerial and leadership positions</li> <li>3. Agency board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience</li> <li>4. Agency has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience</li> <li>5. Agency has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers.</li> <li>6. Agency has provided at least one staff training since 1/1/21 on enhancing equity for BIPOC and/or LGBTQ+.</li> </ol> <p>Program participant outcomes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7. Agency has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age</li> <li>8. Agency has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable for overrepresented races or ethnicities and developed a plan to make those changes</li> <li>9. Agency has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable for LGBTQ+ persons and developed a plan to make those changes</li> <li>10. Agency is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age.</li> </ol>	<p><b>10 POINTS POSSIBLE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 point per “yes” response</li> <li>• 0 points “no” response</li> </ul>	
<b>9</b>	<p><b>Community Collaboration and Participation</b></p> <p>To what extent does the applicant agency support the Housing for Health Partnership (H4HP) by participating in meetings of the H4HP general membership, and participate in HMIS by entering client data into HMIS for 100% of its programs that are listed in the 2021 Housing Inventory Chart (HIC)?</p> <p><i>Sub-scores will be determined by H4HP staff based upon</i></p>	<p><b>3 POINTS POSSIBLE</b></p> <p>H4HP meeting participation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 points: Agency attends 75% to 100%</li> <li>• 1 point: Agency attends 51% to 74%</li> <li>• 0 points: Agency</li> </ul>	

	<i>appropriate H4HP and documentation for the period from September 1, 2020 to the present time.</i>	attends 0% to 50% HMIS participation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 point: Has data for 100% HIC</li> </ul> 0 points: Has data for less than 100% HIC	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100 POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	